PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From INTEF	the RNATIONAL SEAF	RCHING AUTHO	ORITY		REC'D 26 JA	
To:					P OT	PCT
	see form F	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION (F	TEN OPINION OF NAL SEARCHING (SEARCHING FOR PCT/ISA210 (Second	AUTHORITY
Appli	cant's or agent's file	reference		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION	·
- •	form PCT/ISA/22			See paragraph 2 belo		
	national application N NL2004/000771		International filing date (c	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/monthly 07.11.2003	rear)
	national Patent Class L37/092, F16L21		both national classification	and IPC		
Appli BRA	cant AAMS, Gustaaf (Christiaan Erik				
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII	Basis of the operation	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> sitations and explanation	ard to novelty, inventives.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such stated	ve step and industrial app novelty, inventive step of tement	
	If a demand for i written opinion o	nternational pre f the Internation ooses an Author eau under Rule	al Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to	ig Authority ("IPEA"). I be the IPEA and the	l usually be considered to lowever, this does not a chosen IPEA has notifed ational Searching Authori	pply wnere d the
	submit to the IPE months from the whichever expire	EA a written repostate of mailing estater.	ly together, where approof of Form PCT/ISA/220 o	opriate, with amendme	IPEA, the applicant is invents, before the expiration of 22 months from the p	n of three
3.	For further option	·	CT/ISA/220. Form PCT/ISA/220.			
			• .			
Nam	ne and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		yes Palente



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Budtz-Olsen, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3566



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000771

	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With r	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in aguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).
2.	With r	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	t C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000771

	Box	No. II	Priority						
1.	Ø	The follo	owing document ha	as not beel	n furnished	•			
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).							
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).							
		Conseq neverthe	uently it has not be eless been establis	en possible the domain the	le to conside assumpti	ler the validity of ton that the releva	he priority claim. nt date is the clai	This opinion has med priority date.	
2.		has bee	nion has been esta n found invalid (Ru te indicated above	ıles 43 <i>bis</i> .	1 and 64.1). Thus for the put	ned due to the fac poses of this opi	ct that the priority on the internation of the inte	olaim. nal
3.		was not	ot been possible to available to the IS eless been establis	A at the tir	ne that the	search was cond	ucted (Rule 17.1)). This opinion has	cumer
4.	Add	itional ol	oservations, if nece	essary:					
								•	
	·							•	
	Box	No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43 explanation	<i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with reasons supporting su	gard to novelty, ch statement	, inventive step o	r
1.	indu	No. V ustrial a	Reasoned state pplicability; citati	ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation	<i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with reasons supporting su	gard to novelty ch statement	, inventive step o	r
<u> </u>	Stat	ustrial a	Reasoned state pplicability; citati	ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation	<i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with reals supporting su	gard to novelty, ch statement	, inventive step o	r
 1.	Stat	ement	Reasoned state pplicability; citati	ons and e	explanatio	ns supporting su	gard to novelty, ch statement	, inventive step o	r
1.	Stat	ement	pplicability; citati	ons and e	Claims Claims	ns supporting su	gard to novelty, ch statement	, inventive step o	
1.	Stat	ement elty (N)	pplicability; citati	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	ns supporting su	gard to novelty, ch statement	, inventive step o	r
1.	Stat	ement elty (N)	pplicability; citati	Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-26	gard to novelty, ch statement	•	
	Stat Nov Inve	ement elty (N) entive ste	pplicability; citati	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-26 1-25 26	gard to novelty, ch statement	•	
	Stat Nov Inve	ement elty (N) entive ste	pplicability; citation (IS)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-26 1-25 26	gard to novelty ch statement	•	
	Stat Nov Inve	ement elty (N) entive ste	pplicability; citations	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	1-26 1-25 26	gard to novelty, ch statement	•	

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000771

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PCT/NL2004/000771

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 D1: US 4 205 424 A (NAGAO SHOZO ET AL) 3 June 1980 (1980-06-03)
- 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 26
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 26 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.2 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 26, and discloses (see figures 1 and 4):
 - A system comprising two tubes (1,2) and a clamping ring (3) for attaching one tube (1) coaxially around the other rigid tube (2) by means of clamping, which clamping ring (3) can assume at least a first and a second position, the clamping ring (3) being provided with at least one substantially ring shaped part virtually closed in itself, wherein the clamping ring (3) is further provided with a segment (9) movable relative to the ring-shaped part, connected to ends of the ring-shaped part, while in the first position, the clamping ring (3) substantially has the shape of a ring and in the second position, the segment (9) is located inwards relative to the ring-shaped part such that each diameter of the clamping ring (3) in the second position is smaller than each diameter of the clamping ring (3) in the first position.
- 2.3 The only difference between the subject-matter of claim 26 and the system known from D1 is that the outer tube of the application is flexible whereas the outer tube of D1 is rigid. This feature is however irrelevant to the functioning of clamping sleeve.

Consequently, the solution proposed in claim 26 therefore cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

- 3. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 AND 25
- 3.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a flexible clamping ring used in the connection of two cylindrical parts.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

The clamping ring has at least a local increases in its flexibility relative to the flexibility of the rest of the ring, and therefore the segment can move between a first and a second state.

- 3.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)
- 3.3. The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:

The possibility to define the place of the moveable segment.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

The clamping ring of D1 is made of a material with constant flexibility, and therefore the place of the movable segment can not be defined.

- 3.5 The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)
- 3.6 The subject-matter of claim 25 is also novel and inventive for the same reasoning mutatis mutandis as for claim 1.

PCT/NL2004/000771

- 4. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2 24
- 4.1 Claims 2-24 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 2. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
- 3. Based on D1 the two-part form of claim 1 is not correct. Only the "movability of the segment" (lines 11-14) is not disclosed.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

 For reasons of clarity the word "position" used in the description and claims should be substituted with "state".